Influence of instant feedback on learning motivation of university students: Promoting out-of-class learning by developing ICT materials

Toshihiko Shimauchi, Makoto Kimura, Hidetaka Nambo, Haruhiko Kimura

Abstract— In this paper, google apps were used to build an LMS-like environment with instant-feedback function for stimulating out-of-class study activities. 82 first-year university students who enrolled in an English course participated in the project. Reading comprehension sections from Eiken Pre-1 grade test (equivalent of CEFR level B1 to B2) were used for the assignment. To find the influence of instant feedback on learning motivation, two surveys were conducted at the end of the semester. The surveys asked students about their study hours and what types of feedbacks were effective for stimulating their learning motivation. On average, 10.1 assignments out of 13 were submitted. Average study hours were 72 minutes. The feedbacks students find most effective are the scores and the transitions of the scores of the assignment. Written comments from the teacher received polarized assessments; although the feedback received high score for motivating class assignment, it was perceived by some students to be not effective for motivating English learning at large.

Index Terms— ICT in education, reading skills, out-of-class study, programmed learning, feedback

I. INTRODUCTION

It is widely reported that the study hours of university students in Japan are significantly shorter compared to other countries. According to a survey conducted by National Institute of Educational Policy Research, undergraduate students from 1st year to 3rd year spent approximately 5 hours per week for their out-of-class studying [1].

In order to encourage students to study out of class with their own initiatives, professors need to arrange several educational designs. Typical designs include a quiz and a report assignment which are given to students in regular basis. The NIEPR survey showed that 88.8% of the students responded that they had a quiz and/or a report for the registered classes. On the other hand, only 36.7% of the students responded that they received a feedback from their professors [1]. Several studies have shown that providing appropriate feedback for the assignment is effective to maintain and to promote students' motivation for learning [2], [3].

However, university professors in Japan have already

Toshihiko Shimauchi, Faculty of Intercultural Communication, Komatsu University, Komatsu, Ishikawa, Japan

Makoto Kimura, Faculty of Intercultural Communication, Komatsu University, Komatsu, Ishikawa, Japan

Hidetaka Nambo, Graduate school of Natural Science & Technology, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan

Haruhiko Kimura, Faculty of Production Systems Engineering and Sciences, Komatsu University, Komatsu, Ishikawa devoted significant amount of time to education by reducing hours for research activities [4]. Between 2002 and 2013, while a proportion of time spent on research activities over total activities have decreased from 46.5% to 35%, that of educational activities have increased from 23.7% to 28.4%. It is important to improve educational quality without adversely affecting professors' research activities. Utilizing ICT is a promising way to address this challenge.

ICT in education takes various forms and styles such as power point slides projections, electronic blackboards, electronic textbooks and LMS (Learning Management System). LMS is a comprehensive system which manage every aspect of learning activities and histories for individual student. The system allows teaching staff to give assignments and feedbacks to students.

An LMS-like environment was built by utilizing Google Apps to encourage out-of-class study and to reduce professors' time for scoring and feedback. This system was used for an English course in a public university in Ishikawa to investigate the effect of feedback to the students' motivation, which was measured by two surveys conducted at the end of the semester. This paper describes an educational activity by using google apps with quantitative analysis on students' study hours and the effect of learning motivation of several types of feedback provided through the LMS-like environment.

II. PROPOSED SYSTEM

A. Outline

2. Related studies

2.1 Utilizing Google Apps to build LMS like environment

There are many existing studies and reports on English teaching activities utilizing LMS [5]-[8]. However, there are few reports regarding educational activities using Google Apps. Tanaka et al. reported their activities in several universities by using Google Apps and concluded that using Google Apps enables to implement minimum function similar to LMS [9]. Their report does not include analysis on study hours. Suzuki report his experiences of using Google Apps [10]. However, there are no mention on how study hours are changed due to his activities. Nagaoka reports that although Google Apps have better user interface, because of its limited functions, it can be utilized mainly in smaller universities without LMS [11]. Fukui et al. compares Google Apps and Moodle and reports that the former lacks several functions such as scheduling the contents display timing [12].

To summarize, although the existing studies provide useful insight on how to utilize for LMS-like operations, quantitative analysis of the effect on students learning motivation are not fully investigated.

the feedback since it is expected to be an effective strategy for improving educational effect.

2.2 Influence of feedback on learning motivation

In psychological discipline, numerous theoretical and practical studies have been conducted on the influence of feedback on learners. Skinner applied to education an operant conditioning theory which assumes that the probability of a voluntary behavior will be enhanced by giving proper reward to that favorable behavior [13]. He also proposed a successive approximation method in which target behavior was segmented to be learned in sequential order and a programmed learning which incorporated instant reinforcement method in which immediate feedback was given to a proper reaction by a learner. To implement the programmed learning, he developed a teaching machine. His research has formed a foundation of current educational engineering which facilitates the rapid implementation of the programmed learning into educational field [14].

Feedback is reported to affect intrinsic motivation of learners [15], which suggests that the introduction of feedback to educational activities have high salience in supporting and stimulating out-of-class study activities for university students. The effect of the feedback has been investigated from various perspectives. Natori reported that giving positive feedback was more effective than giving negative one in enhancing learner's motivation [16]. The result suggests the importance of considering the reward value of the feedback. Also, subjective value of the feedback is reported to be lowered by several factors including the time having elapsed between an action taken and the time reward given and the probability of the feedback to be given. This phenomenon is called discounting [17], which should be factored into when considering the timing of the feedback to the learners. After reviewing the existing studies on feedback, Toyama et al. pointed out that the most of the studies have investigated the result feedback which focused on the achievement of the learners [18]. They proposed a necessity of a further study on process feedback which put more emphasis on the learning process. These related studies have shown the necessity to sufficiently consider the appropriateness of the method and contents of the feedback.

There are many practical studies which reported the effect of feedback on university students. Uno and Unegawa developed and tested a feedback system which notify the grading of the learning outcome in real time for their programming practicum in order to enhance motivations of the students enrolled in the course [19]. The result showed a significant improvement in the commitment level both in practice and out-of-class non-mandatory in-class assignment. Makino reported a practical study in which peer-feedback activities were introduced in speech training for his remedial English course [20]. During group speech trainings, a speech by one student was recorded by a video camera and the student watched the recorded video with the member of the group who gave a feedback on where to improve. The result of the questionnaire survey showed that all the students in the course found the peer feedback productive in improving their speech skills. In Kyushu University, an e-portfolio system has been utilized for daily reflection for the courses. The professors checked the daily report of the students and gave individual support through writing comment online [21]. These practical studies have shown that more universities and professors are interested in

III. EXPERIMENT 1

A. Participants

First year students (n=82) in the Faculty of Intercultural Communication of Komatsu University participated in this project. In fall semester of 2018, they were required to take "English III" course. The aim of this course was to help students improve reading skills in English. The course was divided into three classes held in Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday. The students were free to decide which class to take (Table 1).

| Table 1. Course name, days and studen | nts registered |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|
|---------------------------------------|----------------|

| Class | Date | Male | Female | Total |
|--------------|------------|------|--------|-------|
| English IIIa | Tue.13:00- | 3 | 20 | 23 |
| English IIIb | Wed.13:00- | 12 | 12 | 24 |
| English IIIc | Fri.13:00- | 2 | 33 | 35 |
| Тс | otal | 17 | 65 | 82 |

B. Material for the assignment

Written tests of EIKEN grade P1 level conducted and published by Eiken Foundation were used. A written test is composed of multiple-choice section (41 questions) and composition section (1 question). Out of 41 questions, 10 questions in reading sub-section were printed and distributed to the students. The sub-section is divided into three question sets, as shown in Table 2.

According to Eiken Foundation's evaluation criteria, the intended examinees of the Grade P1 are second to third year university students. Successful examinees are expected to fully understand and use English required in actual social life situations. The difficult level of the test is equivalent to CEFR B1 to B2.

Table 2. words size of each question set.

| | QS1 | QS2 | QS3 | Total |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Number of questions | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| Words in texts | 312 | 412 | 494 | 1,218 |
| Words in questions | 241 | 239 | 343 | 823 |
| Words in total | 553 | 651 | 837 | 2,041 |

C. Systems

The LMS-like environment proposed in this paper utilizes Google Apps and analyzes students' study hours. Table 3 summarize the applications used and their functions.

One of the authors used Google Sites to build a web site for the course taught in a university. The top page of the site contains several information such as site structure, instruction about assignment and other necessary information (Fig.1). Under this top page, a page for each week is added which include links to the assignment, the page for registering the answers, and the comment page (Fig.2).

The page for registering the answers are constructed by

International Journal of Engineering and Technical Research (IJETR) ISSN: 2321-0869 (O) 2454-4698 (P), Volume-10, Issue-9, September 2020

Google form (Fig.3.1 and 3.2). After registering the answers, the student receives a link to the page containing the result and commentaries.

| Table 3 Specification of the environme | nt |
|--|----|
|--|----|

| Apps | Function | Figure |
|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Google Sites | Course page | Figs. 2 & 3 |
| Google Forms | Answer registration | Figs. 4.1 & 4.2 |
| Google Pollins | Scoring and analysis | Fig.6 |
| G-mail | Send link to feedback page | Fig.5 |



Fig.1. Course top page

| AMB I & TWA & A 20007 | 2009-1 | ****.*** \$ |
|-----------------------|--------|-------------|
| BRANK | | |
| 881 | | |
| ** | | |
| Lances I Audio Lances | | |

Fig.2. Page for each assignment

| 英検準)級過去問題を活用した長文 読解練習 | 英検準 〕 級過去問題を 読解練習 | 活用した長文 | |
|---|--|--------|--|
| 第時に登録的には、1歳だいです200~1507日間であるとから着たもります。 ただし、200回ではなどードスクも品質が時代にあれため、300~00日間だけですでは あれい。3 その後、700~00日から、ても時後年時にぞ、点後にどうら後回の様を見たい、実施会体を見解 していた人を見からました。 | Count to Count 田田同時時間(入力同時時間ではありません)。 | | |
| | | | |
| メールアドレス * ×→∞/* # 0.3 | 回振興了時刻(入力網了時刻ではありません)。 ** | | |
| 学稿摄号(半内数字)。 □==↓♪ | 32 * | 1012 | |
| 氏名* | 13 * | | |
| 111年入力 | 94* 88 - | (31) | |
| 21. V5.4-2 | 21 25 - | | |

Fig.3.2. Answer Form 2

Fig.3.1. Answer Form1

D. Process of assignment

3-page assignments were distributed and processed according to Table 4. The students were instructed to access this page to register their mail address, student number, names, study hours for each question set, and answers.

 Table 4. Process of assignment

 Phase
 Who / When / How

 Distribution
 Professor to students / At the end of the class / 3-page

| | hand-out |
|-------------|--|
| Answering | Student / Out of class hours / Off-line. |
| Registering | Student / Prior to due date / Google Apps |
| Feedback | Automatic / After answer registering / Google Apps |
| Scoring & | Automatic / after answer registering / Google Apps |
| analysis | |

E. Scoring and analysis of the answers

After registering the answers, a message notifying the completion is sent to the registered e-mail address. The message contains the link to a page with score information and commentary. Fig. 4 partially shows the commentary page.

Google Forms automatically process all the data registered by the students, including making a scatter diagram, extracting questions with low accuracy rate, and storing all the data in Google spreadsheet format into Google drive with time stamp data (Fig. 5).



Fig.4. Feedback page (partial)



Fig.5. Auto response-analyzing page

F. Questionnaire Surveys

Two types of questionnaire surveys were conducted at the end of the semester. One is designed by the university (hereafter called University Survey) and the other is developed for this study (hereafter called Research Survey).

The University Survey was conducted on February 6th, 2019. The survey was composed of 12 questions of which two were the items for the students.

The Research Survey was conducted online through Google Forms until February 13th. The students received the instruction in the classroom on February 6th. The survey was

Influence of instant feedback on learning motivation of university students: Promoting out-of-class learning by developing ICT materials

composed of 69 items, of which 41 were on the assignments (Table 5).

| | Table 5 Comparison of the surveys | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Survey by university Survey for the study | | | | |
| Number of questions | 12 | 69 | | | |
| identification | anonymous | student number | | | |
| format | paper | web | | | |
| date | February 6, 2019 | February 6 to February 13, 2019 | | | |
| Number of students answered | 81 | 15 | | | |

IV. RESULTS

Table 6 shows the number of students enrolled in the course, the number of students who took the TOEIC Bridge test, the number of students who submitted the assignment at least once, and the number of respondents to the survey. As shown in the table, the number of respondents to the research survey was small (n=15). The survey was conducted on the internet and this could lower the incentive for responding.

| Table 6 Student numbers for relevant items | | | |
|---|----|--|--|
| enrolled student | 82 | | |
| those who took TOEIC Bridge | 76 | | |
| those who submitted assignments at least once | 78 | | |
| those who answered University survey | 81 | | |
| those who answered Research survey | 15 | | |

A. Submissions and study hours

Table 7 shows the descriptive statistics for the course grade, assignments (the times of submission, score and study hours), and TOEIC Bridge reading score. The average number of submissions was 10.6 out of 13. The average score was 5.1 over 10 points. Average study hours were 72 minutes (SD=28 minutes). 4 students did not submit single assignment at all.

The Research Survey was conducted with student numbers. Difference in the learning outcomes between the respondent and non-respondent indicate those who responded were highly motivated and skilled compared to those who didn't.

| Table 7 Learning outcomes of the students | | | | |
|---|---|---------|------------|----------------|
| | | all | respondent | non-respondent |
| | М | 69.94 | 75.72 | 68.73 |
| course test score | σ | 14.43 | 11.58 | 14.85 |
| | М | 10.13 | 12.07 | 9.88 |
| assignment (times of submission) | σ | 3.71 | 1.14 | 3.77 |
| · | М | 5.09 | 5.99 | 4.89 |
| assignment (score) | σ | 1.75 | 1/58 | 1.74 |
| | М | 1:12:19 | 1:21:39 | 1:10:16 |
| assignment (nours) | σ | 0:28:41 | 0:32:42 | 0:27:35 |
| | М | 76.95 | 79.29 | 76.42 |
| I UEIC Bridge Keading score | σ | 8.39 | 9.27 | 8.17 |
| | | | | |

B. University Survey

In the survey, one item was related to out-of-class study activities (Table 8). 54 students out of 81 responded that they did a review and/or a preparation "always" or "often", indicating most of the students have developed a favorable learning habit.

| Table 8 self-evaluation on out-of-class study activities (N=81) | | | | | |
|---|----|----|---|---|--|
| Q2. How well did you prepare/review for this course? | | | | | |
| Always Often Sometimes Rarely Never | | | | | |
| 19 | 35 | 25 | 2 | 0 | |
| | | | | | |

20 students wrote a comment for free descriptive item. Two were related to the assignment as follows:

• I am satisfied with the course because I can understand how well my English reading skills are through Eiken P1 assignment. Also explanations in the classroom were very clear.

• I am satisfied with the weekly assignment of Eiken test.

It was hard for me but at the same time, I believe through the assignments, my skills have been improved.

C. Research Survey

15 students responded to the survey. One student could not submit the assignment at all due to technical trouble. Tables 9 to 13 show the result of the survey from 14 students.

5 students wrote a comment for free descriptive item. Following three were related to the assignment:

• Weekly assignments were necessary because it was not easy to continue out-of-class study only by myself.

• It was good to know instantaneously my score for each assignment. I would like to read the translation in full, instead of partial translation, since I would like to understand not only sections related to the question but whole text. I think if full translation is provided, more students would read the comment more carefully.

· It would have been better if the teacher had explained

International Journal of Engineering and Technical Research (IJETR) ISSN: 2321-0869 (O) 2454-4698 (P), Volume-10, Issue-9, September 2020

not the textbook but the assignment in the class.

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-------------------|-------|----------|----------------------|
| Q01 . My English skills have been improved through the assignments | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Q02 . I feel some pressure for the weekly assignments. | 2 | 8 | 2 | 2 |
| Q03 . I worked on the assignment with positive attitude. | 4 | 8 | 2 | 0 |
| Q04 . I enjoyed working on the assignment. | 1 | 5 | 8 | 0 |
| Q05 . The level of the assignment was appropriate. | 4 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| Q06 . The amount of the assignment was appropriate. | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| Q07 . The contents of the assignment was appropriate. | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Q08 . Reading comprehension skills have been improved. | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| Q09 . Reading speed has been improved. | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Q10 . I got used to the Eiken P1 grade test. | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 |

| Table 10 Evaluation on explanations for assignment shown upon answering | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------|----------|----------|--|--|--|
| Q Explanations shown immediately after | Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly | | | |
| answering | answering Disagree | | | | | | |
| was helpful. | 7 | 4 | 3 | 0 | | | |
| motivated me for the assignment. | 6 | 7 | 1 | 0 | | | |
| motivated me for reviewing. | 4 | 3 | 7 | 0 | | | |
| motivated me for learning English. | 4 | 3 | 6 | 1 | | | |

| Table 11 Evaluation on scoring for assignment shown upon answering | | | | |
|--|----------------|-------|----------|----------|
| Q Scores shown immediately after | Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly |
| answering | | | | Disagree |
| was helpful. | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| motivated me for the assignment. | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| motivated me for reviewing. | 6 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| motivated me for learning English. | 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 |

| Table 12 Evaluation on time-sequence scores sheet distributed on regular basis | | | | |
|--|----------------|-------|----------|----------------------|
| Q The score sheet | Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| was helpful. | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| motivated me for the assignment. | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| motivated me for reviewing. | 4 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| motivated me for learning English. | 6 | 6 | 2 | 0 |

| Table 13 Evaluation on comments written on the time-sequence score sheet | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-------|----------|----------------------|--|
| Q Comments from the teacher | Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | |
| was helpful. | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | |
| motivated me for the assignment. | 8 | 5 | 0 | 1 | |
| motivated me for reviewing. | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | |
| motivated me for learning English. | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 | |

Influence of instant feedback on learning motivation of university students: Promoting out-of-class learning by developing ICT materials

| | | 学籍番号 | 18134100 |
|----|--------|------|----------|
| | | 氏名 | クラス平均 |
| 回数 | テスト番号 | 総合点 | 回答時間 |
| 1 | 2009_1 | 4.9 | 1:07:49 |
| 2 | 2009_2 | 5.0 | 1:12:12 |
| 3 | 2009_3 | 5.8 | 1:06:17 |
| 4 | 2010_1 | 4.8 | 1:12:22 |
| 5 | 2010_2 | 5.7 | 1:11:42 |
| 6 | 2010_3 | 5.3 | 1:14:37 |
| 7 | 2011_1 | 5.2 | 1:07:00 |
| 8 | 2011_2 | 5.8 | 1:11:12 |
| 9 | 2011_3 | | |
| 10 | 2012_1 | | |
| 11 | 2012_2 | | |
| 12 | 2012_3 | | |
| 13 | 2013_1 | | |
| 14 | 2013_2 | | |
| 15 | 2013_3 | | |
| | 平均点 | 5.3 | 1:10:24 |

| | | | | 学籍番号 氏名 | 18134100 クラス平均 |
|----|--------|------|-----|------------|-------------------|
| 回数 | テスト番号 | 総合得点 | 大問1 | 大問2 | 大問 3 |
| 1 | 2009_1 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| 2 | 2009_2 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| 3 | 2009_3 | 5.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| 4 | 2010_1 | 4.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 2.2 |
| 5 | 2010_2 | 5.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 2.8 |
| 6 | 2010_3 | 5.3 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| 7 | 2011_1 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| 8 | 2011_2 | 5.8 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 2.2 |
| 9 | 2011_3 | | | | |
| 10 | 2012_1 | | | | |
| 11 | 2012_2 | | | | |
| 12 | 2012_3 | | | | |
| 13 | 2013_1 | | | | |
| 14 | 2013_2 | | | | |
| 15 | 2013_3 | | | | |
| | 平均点 | 5.3 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.1 |

| 回数 | テスト番号 | 総合時間 | 大間1 | 大間2 | 大間3 |
|----|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1 | 2009_1 | 1:07:49 | 0:20:56 | 0:20:43 | 0:26:09 |
| 2 | 2009_2 | 1:12:12 | 0:21:07 | 0:23:55 | 0:27:10 |
| 3 | 2009_3 | 1:06:17 | 0:21:17 | 0:20:28 | 0:24:32 |
| 4 | 2010_1 | 1:12:22 | 0:23:13 | 0:22:32 | 0:26:38 |
| 5 | 2010_2 | 1:11:42 | 0:22:57 | 0:23:04 | 0:25:41 |
| 6 | 2010_3 | 1:14:37 | 0:22:36 | 0:23:14 | 0:28:47 |
| 7 | 2011_1 | 1:07:00 | 0:21:01 | 0:20:38 | 0:25:21 |
| 8 | 2011_2 | 1:11:12 | 0:21:02 | 0:22:27 | 0:27:42 |
| 9 | 2011_3 | | | | |
| 10 | 2012_1 | | - | | |
| 11 | 2012_2 | | | | |
| 12 | 2012_3 | _ | 9 8 | | |
| 13 | 2013_1 | | | | |
| 14 | 2013_2 | | | | |
| 15 | 2013_3 | | | | |
| | 平均点 | 1:10:24 | 0:21:46 | 0:22:08 | 0:26:30 |

Fig.6 Feedback sheets

V. DISCUSSION

A. Average study hours

The average out-of-class study hours of the students who submitted the assignments exceeded 70 minutes. The course has two credits, which on regulatory basis requires the enrolled students to study 240 minutes per week. Compared with this requirement, 70 minutes study for the assignment was not sufficient. But it should be noted that the students could have studied for the course in addition to the assignments.

Compared to the average study hours of the university students in Japan (5 hours per week), 70 minutes study for the assignment of one course can be positively evaluated. The participating students in this paper were in the first year and they had many registered courses in the second semester. Specifically, every student took two-class-per-week Chinese course, which required long preparations for the class and frequent tests.

B. Attitude toward assignments

From Table 9, a typical attitude of the student can be described as follows. They felt psychological pressure from weekly assignment whose difficulty they perceived to be higher than their current skills. And this perceived difficulty prevent them to enjoy answering the assignment. However, by solving the assignment with positive attitude, they felt their overall English comprehension skills and reading speed improved.

C. Influence of feedback on learning motivation

The commentary prepared by the teacher and displayed upon registering the answers was evaluated as useful for itself but not effective for motivating to review the assignment or for overall English learning (Table 10).

On the other hand, the score displayed upon registering the answer was evaluated as not only useful but also an effective motivating factor for future assignment and overall English learning (Table11).

A feedback sheet (Fig. 6) prepared by the teacher every 5

week was evaluated as useful and effective for future assignment. It received lower evaluation as a motivating factor for assignment review but still evaluated as an effective motivating factor for overall English learning (Table 12).

A handwritten comment on the feedback sheet received a polarized evaluation for its effect on their learning motivations (Table 13).

To summarize, the students evaluated instant feedback of scores and feedback sheet with time-series score transition as effective motivating factors but found written commentary and instant display of the commentary as not so effective.

It is natural for the students to recognize the score as the most effective motivating factor since unless they don't find out their answers are correct or not, they can't find their strength and weakness.

On the other hand, written commentary on feedback sheet didn't receive high evaluation. In fact, it received the largest negative evaluation as shown in Table 13. One possible reason can be that the commentary was short and hence insufficient for motivation. Making the written commentary effective motivating factor was challenging in a course with more than 80 students.

The commentary displayed upon registering the answers also received lower evaluation. In the commentary, full translation was not included. Summary and relevant sections were selectively translated. This could be one of the reasons for the lower evaluation.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this study, LMS-like environment was developed by using open-source applications to give the students assignment platform through which they can register answer and receive the feedback. Also, they receive periodical feedback based on their assignment data. The data collected through the assignments and two surveys were used to analyze the effect of the feedback on their learning motivation. Although the number of the respondents were small, the overall results have shown the assignment and the feedback were highly evaluated by the respondents. The students who responded to the two surveys shows that the feedback has positive influence on their learning motivation. Specifically, the feedbacks students find most effective are the scores and the transitions of the scores of the assignment. Written comments from the teacher received polarized assessments; although the feedback received high score for motivating class assignment, it was perceived by some students to be not effective for motivating English learning at large.

However, the number of the respondent to the research survey was too small to draw a conclusive finding. Continuing this survey for several years and expanding the students to other faculties are the next step for the study.

REFERENCES

- National Institute of Educational Policy Research. (2016). Survey on study hours by university students (summary). http://www.nier.go.jp/05_kenkyu_seika/pdf06/gakusei_chousa_gaiy ou.pdf. Accessed on 2019/01/20
- [2] M. Nishiyama, Y. Haze, Y. and T. Terasawa. (2015). Effects of feedback regarding learning outcome on learning motivation: learning support using micro step measurement. Proceedings of 57th Conference of Japanese Association of Educational Psychology, p. 463.
- [3] S. Mizokami. (1996). Learning motivations of university students, Kyoto University researches in higher education, vol. 2, pp. 184–197.
- [4] Y. Kanda and H. Tomizawa. (2015). Changes in the ratio of time spent on work activities by university & college faculty members – a comparison of results of the "survey of full-time equivalency data at universities and colleges" of 2002, 2008, and 2013, Research Material No. 236, Research Unit for Science and Technology Analysis and Indicators, NISTEP, MEXT.
- [5] M. Imura and K. Kamiya. (2006). Introduction of Learning Management System to English classes, Memoirs of the Osaka Institute of Technology. Series B, Liberal arts, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 47– 52.
- [6] T. Fujii, H. Hayase, T. Koga, T. Kawamichi and S. Hoyashita. (2013). The Practical Use of LMS in English Education at Saga University, Journal of the Organization for General Education Saga University, vol. 1, pp. 3–12.
- [7] H. Obari, S.G. Lambacher, and J.W. Pagel. (2013). A Study on English Education integrating LMS and Mobile Technologies in Cloud Computing Environments: From electronic textbooks to Social learning using the on-line lectures iUniv, Aoyama Information Science, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 46–55.
- [8] K. Hanazaki. (2013). English education using LMS plug-ins, Shinshu University annual report of the Language Education Center, No. 1, pp. 49–54.
- [9] K. Tanaka, R. Suzuki and H. Yamazaki. (2013). Using Google Apps as LMS, Proceedings of Information Education Symposium of 2013, vol. 2013, No. 2, pp. 209–215.
- [10] H. Suzuki. (2016). Introduction to Some Benefits and Usages of Google Classroom, The Bulletin of Hachinohe Institute of Technology, vol. 35, pp. 107–120.
- [11] C. Nagaoka. (2014). A review on Google Classroom, Luncheon seminar No. 209, Institute for e-learning Development Kumamoto University. accessed on 2019/01/17
- [12] K. Fukui, Y. Ukawa and Y. Kamiyama. (2016). A Proposal for Course using Google Classroom, COMMUE, no. 23, pp. 57–62.
- [13] B.F. Skinner. (1954). "The Science of Learning and the Art of Teaching." Harvard Educational Review Vol.23, pp. 86–97.
- [14] M. Ito. (2016). "Instrumentation in the Study of Operant Conditioning: The Past and the Future (3)." Japanese Journal of Behavior Analysis 31 (1): 63–66.
- [15] J. Hattie and Helen Timperley. (2007). "The Power of Feedback." Review of Educational Research Vol. 77, No. 1, pp. 81–112.
- [16] H. Natori. (2007). "Coaches' Verbal Feedback and Elementary School Football Players' Motivation." The Japanese Journal of Educational Psychology Vol. 55, No. 2, pp. 244–54.
- [17] D. Saeki. (2011). Psychology of Discounting. Showado.
- [18] M. Toyama, Li Tang, M. Nagamine, S. Miwa and A. Aikawa. (2017). "Regulatory Focus Moderates Process Feedback and Motivation." The Japanese Journal of Educational Psychology Vol. 65, No. 3, pp. 321–32.
- [19] T. Uno and M. Unekawa. (2015). "Development of the Feedback System of Learning Situation in Real Time for a Programming

Exercise." Journal of the Faculty of Management and Information Systems, Prefectural University of Hiroshima, no. 7 (February): 163– 69.

- [20] M. Makino. (2019). "Speech Training Using In-Class Peer Feedback in a Remedial English Class." Journal of the Japan Association for Developmental Education Vol. 13, pp. 43–50.
- [21] H. Ogata. (2018). "Overview and Introduction of Learning Analytics Research in Higher Education." Japan Journal of Educational Technology Vol.41, No. 3, pp. 221–31.

Toshihiko Shimauchi, Faculty of Intercultural Communication, Komatsu University, Komatsu, Ishikawa, Japan

Makoto Kimura, Faculty of Intercultural Communication, Komatsu University, Komatsu, Ishikawa, Japan

Hidetaka Nambo, Graduate school of Natural Science & Technology, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan

Haruhiko Kimura, Faculty of Production Systems Engineering and Sciences, Komatsu University, Komatsu, Ishikawa